### **Properties of Knots from Polynomials**

Ana Wright

April 13, 2023

#### **Definition**

A **knot** is a closed loop in three-dimensional space, considered the same up to continuous deformations where the loop may not break or pass through itself.

∢ ∃ )

#### **Definition**

A **knot** is a closed loop in three-dimensional space, considered the same up to continuous deformations where the loop may not break or pass through itself.





#### **Definition**

A **knot** is a closed loop in three-dimensional space, considered the same up to continuous deformations where the loop may not break or pass through itself.





#### **Definition**

A **knot** is a closed loop in three-dimensional space, considered the same up to continuous deformations where the loop may not break or pass through itself.



 $2Q$ 

#### **Definition**

A **knot** is a closed loop in three-dimensional space, considered the same up to continuous deformations where the loop may not break or pass through itself.



#### **Definition**

A **knot** is a closed loop in three-dimensional space, considered the same up to continuous deformations where the loop may not break or pass through itself.



#### **Definition**

A **knot** is a closed loop in three-dimensional space, considered the same up to continuous deformations where the loop may not break or pass through itself.



#### **Definition**

A **knot** is a closed loop in three-dimensional space, considered the same up to continuous deformations where the loop may not break or pass through itself.



#### **Definition**

A **knot** is a closed loop in three-dimensional space, considered the same up to continuous deformations where the loop may not break or pass through itself.



#### **Definition**

A **knot** is a closed loop in three-dimensional space, considered the same up to continuous deformations where the loop may not break or pass through itself.



#### **Definition**

A **knot** is a closed loop in three-dimensional space, considered the same up to continuous deformations where the loop may not break or pass through itself.



**Theorem (Reidemeister, 1927. Independently, Alexander and Briggs, 1926)**

*Two knot diagrams are of the same knot if and only if one diagram can be transformed into the other through a series of the following Reidemeister moves and planar isotopies.*

**Theorem (Reidemeister, 1927. Independently, Alexander and Briggs, 1926)**

*Two knot diagrams are of the same knot if and only if one diagram can be transformed into the other through a series of the following Reidemeister moves and planar isotopies.*



റെ ര

**Theorem (Reidemeister, 1927. Independently, Alexander and Briggs, 1926)**

*Two knot diagrams are of the same knot if and only if one diagram can be transformed into the other through a series of the following Reidemeister moves and planar isotopies.*



റെ ര

**Theorem (Reidemeister, 1927. Independently, Alexander and Briggs, 1926)**

*Two knot diagrams are of the same knot if and only if one diagram can be transformed into the other through a series of the following Reidemeister moves and planar isotopies.*



م ه د

**Theorem (Reidemeister, 1927. Independently, Alexander and Briggs, 1926)**

*Two knot diagrams are of the same knot if and only if one diagram can be transformed into the other through a series of the following Reidemeister moves and planar isotopies.*



#### **Knot Invariant**

#### **Definition**

A **knot invariant** is a function *f* from the set of all knots  $K$  to a set *S* such that if two knots *K* and *K* ′ are equivalent, then  $f(K) = f(K')$ .

**Type I:** A property of knot diagrams which is invariant over continuous deformations.

**Type II:** A "measurement" which is minimized over all diagrams of a knot.

**KORK ERKER ADAM ADA** 

### **Type I Invariant Example**

#### **Definition**

A knot diagram is **tricolorable** if the strands can be colored using exactly three colors such that every crossing uses either the same color for all three strands or all different colors.



## **Type I Invariant Example**

#### **Definition**

A knot diagram is **tricolorable** if the strands can be colored using exactly three colors such that every crossing uses either the same color for all three strands or all different colors.



 $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{$ 

The figure-eight knot is not tricolorable.



K ロ > K 個 > K 差 > K 差 > → 差 → の Q Q →

Tricolorability is preserved by R-moves.

K ロ ⊁ K 個 ≯ K 重 ≯ K 重 ≯ …重

 $ORO$ 



Tricolorability is preserved by R-moves.



Tricolorability is preserved by R-moves.



 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$  $299$ 





(ロ)→(個)→(理)→(理)→  $\Rightarrow$  $2Q$ 







K ロ ▶ K 御 ▶ K 唐 ▶ K 唐 ▶ ă  $2Q$ 



 $\Omega$ 4 17 18

## **Type II Invariant Example**

#### **Definition**

The **crossing number** of a knot *K* is the minimum number of crossings in any diagram of *K*.



Every knot diagram with exactly one crossing is a diagram of the unknot.



Every knot diagram with exactly one crossing is a diagram of the unknot.



Every knot diagram with exactly one crossing is a diagram of the unknot.



K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ (할 수 있어)

Every knot diagram with exactly two crossings is a diagram of the unknot.



Every knot diagram with exactly two crossings is a diagram of the unknot.



Every knot diagram with exactly two crossings is a diagram of the unknot.



K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 로 ▶ K 로 ▶ 『로 → 9 Q @

Every knot diagram with exactly two crossings is a diagram of the unknot.



Every knot diagram with exactly two crossings is a diagram of the unknot.



## **Type II Invariant Example**

#### **Definition**

The **crossing number** of a knot *K* is the minimum number of crossings in any diagram of *K*.



## **Type II Invariant Example**

#### **Definition**

The **unknotting number** of a knot *K* is the minimum number of crossing changes required to transform *K* into the unknot.



## **Our Invariants So Far**



KID KARA KE KA E KO GO

#### **Type I Invariant: Alexander Polynomial**

Each knot *K* has an assigned Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K(t)$ .



#### **Type I Invariant: Alexander Polynomial**

The set of Alexander polynomials are the Laurent polynomials (polynomials where powers of *t* can be negative) with integer coefficients where

• 
$$
\triangle_K(1) = \pm 1
$$
 and

$$
\bullet \triangle_K(t^{-1}) = \triangle_K(t)
$$



**KORK ERKER ADAM ADA** 

#### **Alexander Polynomial**

There are infinitely many knots realizing each Alexander polynomial.



#### **Alexander Polynomial**

There are infinitely many knots realizing each Alexander polynomial.



#### **Alexander Polynomial**

There are infinitely many knots realizing each Alexander polynomial.



# **Alexander Polynomial and Crossing Changes**

#### **Theorem (Kondo, 1978)**

*For any Alexander polynomial p*(*t*)*, there exists a knot K with unknotting number one such that*  $\triangle_K(t) = p(t)$ *.* 

## **Alexander Polynomial and Crossing Changes**

#### **Theorem (Kondo, 1978)**

*For any Alexander polynomial p*(*t*)*, there exists a knot K with unknotting number one such that*  $\Delta_K(t) = p(t)$ *.* 



Fig. 4

(ロトメ団) (主) (主)

 $\equiv$ 

#### **Alexander polynomials and crossing changes**

#### **Definition**

A **complete Alexander neighbor** is a knot *K* such that every possible Alexander polynomial is realized by a knot *K* ′ one crossing change away from *K*.

**Question:** Does there exist a complete Alexander neighbor

**Answer:** I don't know yet! However, there are ways to narrow

**←ロ ▶ ← 伊 ▶ ← ミ ▶ ← ミ ▶ │ ミ** 

#### **Alexander polynomials and crossing changes**

#### **Definition**

A **complete Alexander neighbor** is a knot *K* such that every possible Alexander polynomial is realized by a knot *K* ′ one crossing change away from *K*.

**Question:** Does there exist a complete Alexander neighbor with nontrivial Alexander polynomial?

**Answer:** I don't know yet! However, there are ways to narrow

## **Alexander polynomials and crossing changes**

#### **Definition**

A **complete Alexander neighbor** is a knot *K* such that every possible Alexander polynomial is realized by a knot *K* ′ one crossing change away from *K*.

**Question:** Does there exist a complete Alexander neighbor with nontrivial Alexander polynomial?

**Answer:** I don't know yet! However, there are ways to narrow down the list of possible knots.

**KORK ERKER ADAM ADA** 

First, if a knot *K* has algebraic unknotting number greater than one, *K* is not a complete Alexander neighbor.

*Let K be a knot with unknotting number 1, where*  $|\Delta_K(-1)| > 3$ *and where*  $|\Delta_K(-1)|$  *is composite or*  $|\Delta_K(-1)| \equiv 1 \mod 4$ .

 $\triangle_K(t) = n(t+t^{-1}) + 1 - 2n.$  If K has unknotting number one or 1 − 4*n is not a square, then K is not a complete Alexander*

**university-logo-udel**

First, if a knot *K* has algebraic unknotting number greater than one, *K* is not a complete Alexander neighbor.

#### **Theorem (A. W.)**

*Let K be a knot with unknotting number 1, where*  $|\Delta_K(-1)| > 3$ *and where*  $|\Delta_K(-1)|$  *is composite or*  $|\Delta_K(-1)| \equiv 1 \mod 4$ . *Then K is not a complete Alexander neighbor.*

 $\triangle_K(t) = n(t+t^{-1}) + 1 - 2n.$  If K has unknotting number one or 1 − 4*n is not a square, then K is not a complete Alexander*

**university-logo-udel**

First, if a knot *K* has algebraic unknotting number greater than one, *K* is not a complete Alexander neighbor.

#### **Theorem (A. W.)**

*Let K be a knot with unknotting number 1, where*  $|\triangle_K(-1)| \geq 3$ *and where*  $|\Delta_K(-1)|$  *is composite or*  $|\Delta_K(-1)| \equiv 1 \mod 4$ . *Then K is not a complete Alexander neighbor.*

#### **Corollary (A. W.)**

*Let K be a knot with a breadth 2 Alexander polynomial*  $\triangle_K(t) = n(t+t^{-1}) + 1 - 2n$ . If K has unknotting number one or 1 − 4*n is not a square, then K is not a complete Alexander neighbor.*

**university-logo-udel**

## **Unknotting Number**

#### **Theorem (Nakanishi & Okada, 2012)**

*Let K and K*′ *be knots one crossing change apart. If K has*  $|$ *unknotting number 1, then*  $|\triangle_{K'}(-1)| \equiv \pm n^2 \mod |\triangle_K(-1)|$  *for some integer n.*



 $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{$  $2990$ 

## **Unknotting Number**

#### **Theorem (Nakanishi & Okada, 2012)**

*Let K and K*′ *be knots one crossing change apart. If K has*  $|$ *unknotting number 1, then*  $|\triangle_{K'}(-1)| \equiv \pm n^2 \mod |\triangle_K(-1)|$  *for some integer n.*

#### **Theorem (A. W.)**

*The five knots below have unknotting number greater than one.*



◆ ロ → イラ → イヨ → イヨ → ニヨ

 $-990$ 

## **Polymath Jr. Project**

A **symmetric union presentation** of a knot *K* is a diagram of *K* built from a smaller knot (called a **partial knot** of *K*) joined with its mirror image.



#### **Polymath Jr. Project**

**Theorem (Ben Clingenpeel, Zongzheng (Jason) Dai, Gabriel Diraviam, Kareem Jaber, Ziyun Liu, Teo Miklethun, Haritha N, Michael Perry, Moses Samuelson-Lynn, Eli Seamans, Krishnendu Kar, Nicole Xie, Ruiqi Zou, A. W., Alex Zupan)**

*There exist knots K*<sub>1</sub> *and K*<sub>2</sub> *such that*  $|\triangle_{K_1}(-1)| = |\triangle_{K_2}(-1)|$ , *but they are not both partial knots of any knot K .*

